

SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/05/17 : CIA-RDP78Z02997A000100200007-0

THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

NSC Declassification/Release Instructions on File WASHINGTON, D. C. 20505

Intelligence Community Staff

DCI/IC-75-0536

20 FEB 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Members of the NSCIC Working Group
SUBJECT : Proposed Agenda for a 12 March Meeting

1. It is proposed that the next meeting of the Working Group be held at 1030 hours on Wednesday, 12 March 1975, in the White House Situation Room. The agenda would be as follows:

a. Approval of the minutes of the 29 January meeting. A copy of the draft minutes was sent to each member on 5 February.

b. DCI Objectives for the Intelligence Community for FY-1976

A copy of the draft set of substantive and management objectives is attached. Members are requested to review this document and provide their comments at the meeting. This agenda item is a follow-on to the discussion on 29 January concerning the DCI's objectives for FY-1975. (TAB A)

c. DCI Perspectives for Intelligence, 1976-1981

A proposed revision of the "Perspectives" issued last year for 1975-1980 is attached. The re-draft applies only to Part I, "Major World Problems," and Part II, "The Role of Intelligence." Part III, "Implications for Intelligence Planning," will be drafted following review of Parts I and II. (TAB B)

Members are requested to provide comments at the meeting on Parts I and II, particularly with respect to the extent to which the identification of "Major World Problems" relates to the primary problem areas as seen from their respective organizations, and the extent to which the "Role of Intelligence" has been properly oriented to the anticipated world environment.

Approved For Release 2002/05/17 : CIA-RDP78Z02997A000100200007-0

SECRET

CLASSIFIED BY [REDACTED]
EXEMPT FROM AUTOMATIC DECLASSIFICATION
SCHEDULED FOR REVIEW (DATE OR MORE)
NOT TO BE DECLASSIFIED ON
Impossible to Determine

25X1A

d. Improvements in the handling of warning information

A briefing will be presented on recent actions and programs under way to improve the performance of the Intelligence Community in the analysis and handling of warning information. Short background papers will be provided members prior to the meeting.

e. Report by the chairman

The chairman will present for consideration:

- (1) A draft paper outlining the kind of guidance which it is suggested the NSCIC should provide to the Intelligence Community and the DCI.
- (2) A summary of the follow-on which has been made in response to comments and questions raised by Working Group members at previous meetings.
- (3) An outline of a proposed work program for the Group.

25X1A

Samuel V. Wilson
Lieutenant General, USA
Deputy to the DCI for the
Intelligence Community

Attachments: as stated

MEMORANDUM FOR: NSCIC Intelligence Panel

SUBJECT: Proposed Guidance from NSCIC to the DCI \

1. The DCI's recent report to the President and PFIAB described one of the major problems facing the Intelligence Community in these terms:

" We must improve communications between the policymaker and the Community. The problem of obtaining improved guidance from policy and planning levels is far from solved, despite the progress described earlier in this report. Additional emphasis has to be put on the need for policymakers to take the Intelligence Community into their confidence when they are formulating plans so that intelligence assets can be brought to bear in time to be effective."

2. In seeking to make progress on this chronic problem, the DCI looks for guidance to the NSCIC, which in the President's memorandum of 5 November 1971, is to:

- a. "Give direction and guidance on national substantive intelligence needs," and
- b. "Provide a continuing evaluation of intelligence products from the viewpoint of the intelligence consumer."

3. To accomplish these goals, a number of proposals have been set out below. Essentially, they are designed to inform us how the NSCIC can be better served by the Intelligence

SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/05/17 : CIA-RDP78Z02997A000100200007-0

Community, and to provide the DCI, as the Head of the Community, with appropriate guidance to do so.

4. These proposals are exploratory and advanced with the understanding that they can be modified or discarded.

I. MAJOR POLICY GUIDELINES DOCUMENT

In this proposal the NSCIC would prepare a document describing the major policy lines the NSC intends to pursue during the next year in each major region of the world. The document would also define the major missing information pieces; in effect, these would be the areas in which the Intelligence Community would concentrate its efforts to assist top policy and decision makers. This guidance would go far to assist the Community in establishing priority requirements and tailoring production emphases. The timing of this publication to be negotiated to have maximum impact on KIQs and other IC policy publications.

II. SPECIFIC PROPOSALS TO NSCIC FOR GUIDANCE

One of the persisting myths abroad in the consumer world is the belief that the Intelligence Community can collect anything. This proposal envisages going to the NSCIC with

given to the subject, the essential point to be made would be that the West has a fairly good appreciation of Soviet military capabilities, but has a long distance to go to estimate with assurance Soviet military intentions. At this point, recommended changes in staffing patterns abroad, estimates of funding required to improve our position, and other recommendations could be raised for NSCIC endorsement. Other topics, e.g., Narcotics Suppression, Terrorism, could be raised. In these briefings, the cost to the IC of pursuing these activities could be described, and endorsement of priorities (or recommendations for changed emphasis to other fields), could be solicited.

III. CRITICAL REVIEW OF PRESENT INTELLIGENCE PUBLICATIONS

This is a program for continuing evaluation of intelligence products by consumers. It would involve the identification and critique, at least once a quarter, of a specific product or series of products in terms of responsiveness to the consumer. We would envisage this as a serious and wide-ranging evaluation by the consumers. In the case of each product or family of products, topics to be addressed would include:

A. GENERAL - PRODUCTION

Are substantive papers now dealing with the proper issues, that is, matters of compelling interest to the

Are they covering subject matter in sufficient depth?
Too much depth, including minor issues? Enough or too
much analysis? Are they too long? Too short? Just
right? Are they clearly written? Are they objective?
Are the products timely? Can a consumer obtain a
response in a reasonable time?

B. TYPE - PRODUCTION

We are concerned here with types of production,
e.g., current intelligence, economic intelligence,
NIEs/NIAMs, etc.

Are /the families of papers serving the consumer?
For example, do the current intelligence-type publications
serve the consumer adequately? Are there too many?
Not enough? Are they deficient in any way? How is
the mix as between current and estimative intelligence;
should there be more (or less) of either? Similar tests
could be applied to economic, military, basic, and other
substantive publications.

In the estimative area, in addition to the general
questions above, we would seek guidance on such
questions as whether there should be more SNIEs, i.e.,
a "faster service," as opposed to regularly scheduled NIEs,
whether the NIEs should be more reportorial than estimative.

C. NEW PRODUCTS

Evaluation and critique of current production would also be designed to elicit guidance on whether new kinds of products are desired by consumers.

D. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

1. To the degree possible, evaluation of production would seek guidance as to emphasis. For example, should Latin American production be emphasized or downplayed? Should emphasis on narcotics, terrorism, economic, and other types of intelligence be shifted? Should emphasis on biographic production be increased?

2. Can the IC improve its responsiveness by structural changes? For example, would it be useful to place an IC person in the Secretary of State/Defense office for early response to high level needs? By adding a small Intelligence Community element to the National Security Council staff?

3. Another avenue to obtain guidance for the DCI would be NSCIC critique of basic DCI documents, such as Perspectives for Intelligence, the National Foreign Intelligence Program recommendations, and National Plans, e.g., SIGINT.

* * * * *



SECRET